



ASSESSMENT OF NUTRITION EDUCATION ON DIET AND NUTRITIONAL STATUS OF PREGNANT WOMEN

***¹Dr. Mahnoor Ishtiaq, ²Dr. Maryam Sana and ³Dr. Hafsa Ahtesham**

¹PMDC # 103290-P.

²PMDC # 103263-P.

³PMDC # 101660-P.

***Corresponding Author:** Dr. Mahnoor Ishtiaq
PMDC # 103290-P.

Article Received on 20/03/2020

Article Revised on 10/04/2020

Article Accepted on 30/04/2020

ABSTRACT

Objective: To assess the effects of nutrition education intervention on dietary practices and nutritional status of pregnant women. **Methods:** In this quasi-experimental study, 215 pregnant women were enrolled from Gynae OPD, Sir Ganga Ram Hospital, Lahore, Pakistan during 2017-18. Dietary practices were assessed using the usual intake form and scoring was done against food guide pyramid. Nutritional status was evaluated by anthropometric measurements and biochemical estimation. In addition to nutrition counselling, each woman was prescribed with supplements commonly used during pregnancy. Dietary habits and nutritional status were reassessed after two months. The women lost to follow up were 21 (9.8%) therefore data obtained from 194 women were subjected to final analysis by using SPSS 20. **Results:** The age of women ranged between 18 and 38 years. Those who never attended a school were 14.4%; poor 46.0%; and working 3.7%. The comparison between pre- and post-counselling dietary practices showed improvement in the numbers of women taking recommended portions of bread & cereals (79.4% vs. 95.9%, p = <0.001); vegetables (50.5% vs. 64.9%, p = 0.004); milk & dairy products (38.1% vs. 81.4%, p = <0.001); and a reduction in the numbers of women taking recommended portions of meat & bean (100.0% vs. 94.8%, p = 0.002). The frequency of women taking recommended diet as per food guide pyramid improved from 3.1% to 37.1%. Vitamin D status also showed improvement in the numbers of women with normal levels of serum vitamin D (7.1% vs. 33.3%, p = 0.079). **Conclusions:** Overall, nutrition counseling showed positive effects on nutritional status of pregnant women. Thus, the nutrition counseling must be an essential part of antenatal care for all pregnant women in the setting.

INTRODUCTION

Pregnant women have higher nutritional requirement; whereas poor dietary practices in terms of food frequency and quality may cause nutritional deficiencies.^[1] Several studies have evaluated the association between prenatal dietary practices and pregnancy outcome.^[2-4] In maternal outcomes, it is associated with gestational weight and risk of anemia. In fetal outcomes, it is associated with birthweight and risk of preterm birth. Thus, healthy eating habits are essential for the wellbeing of pregnant mothers and better pregnancy outcome.^[5]

Vitamin D deficiency (VDD) is a widespread micronutrient deficiency; and it may put pregnant mothers at risk of developing gestational diabetes mellitus,^[6] preeclampsia,^[7] and can increase the risk of preterm labor, poor fetal growth, and adverse neonatal outcome.^[8] National nutrition survey 2011 revealed that 51% pregnant Pakistani women were anaemic, 46% vitamin A deficient and 68.9% suffering from vitamin D deficiency.^[9]

Nutrition counselling is a recommended approach to improve nutritional status of pregnant women. The WHO recommends that pregnant mothers should be supported to eat healthy and balanced diet for preventing nutritional deficiency.^[5] The reviews of literature show that several studies had evaluated the pregnant women's knowledge, practices, and source of information regarding diet in pregnancy. Very few studies assessed the effects of nutrition counselling on their dietary practices and nutritional status. Therefore, this study was aimed to assess the effects of nutrition counselling on dietary practices and nutritional status of pregnant women seeking antenatal care at a public sector hospital.

METHODS

The quasi-experimental study was carried out at Sir Ganga Ram Hospital, Lahore, Pakistan from September 2017 to February 2018. Informed written consents were taken from all volunteer pregnant women. Total 215 pregnant women of gestational age 19-29 weeks, visiting the facility for the first time to seek antenatal care, were enrolled by non-probability purposive sampling

technique. Pregnant women reporting any illness or taking supplements before enrollment were excluded.

At baseline, all pregnant women were interviewed for demographic characteristics such as age, gestational age, education, family income, etc.; and subjected to the measurements of body weight, height, hemoglobin level, and vitamin D level etc. Routine antenatal checkup was done by a consultant gynaecologist and prenatal supplements were prescribed. Anthropometric measurements were done by trained lady health visitor. Mid upper arm circumference (MUAC) of the left upper arm at the midpoint between the tip of the shoulder and the tip of the elbow was measured by using MUAC tape. Serum vitamin D levels were estimated by using ELISA method. Normal vitamin D levels ranged between 30-100 ng/mL, insufficient between 20-30 ng/mL, and deficient <20 ng/mL. A clinical nutritionist took detailed dietary history using usual intake form. According to their dietary assessment, nutritional counselling was done and diet charts purposefully designed for the study were provided.

All participants were followed up for two months. Then, a second interview was conducted in which postcounselling data were collected. Compliance with advised dietary practices and supplements was assessed. Women who were taking recommended servings from all five groups were labelled as having appropriate dietary

practices. Similarly, a second blood specimen was collected to measure the improvement in vitamin D level.

Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20 was used for data analysis. The women lost to follow up were 21 (9.8%) therefore data obtained from 194 (90.2%) women were subjected to final statistical analysis. Numerical variables such as age, MUAC and vitamin D levels were described by using mean \pm standard deviation form. Categorical variables such as demographic characteristics and food frequency were discussed in frequency (percentage) form. Pre- and postcounselling dietary practices and vitamin D status were compared by using chi square test. P-value ≤ 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

The mean age of 194 pregnant women was 26.4 ± 4.8 years (ranged between 18 and 38 years). The women who never attended a school were 14.4%; under-matric 27.5%; and graduate 18.1%. Only 3.7% women were doing a job. The assessment of family income per month showed that 46.0% women had earnings of PKR 20,000 or less; and others had between 20,000 and 50,000 PKR. The women experiencing first pregnancy were 3.3%. Overall, mean MUAC was 27.81 ± 4.63 cm.

The comparison between pre- and postcounselling dietary practices showed improvement in the numbers of women taking recommended

Table-I: Comparison of pre- and post-counselling dietary practices.

FOOD GROUPS	Pre-counselling (n=194)	Post-counselling (n=194)	p-value
Bread, cereals, pasta, rice and potato	Recommended or above	154 (79.4%)	186 (95.9%)
<0.001 group (6-11 servings per day)	Below recommendation	40 (20.6%)	08 (4.1%)
Vegetables group	Recommended or above	98 (50.5%)	126 (64.9%)
(3 - 5 servings per day)	Below recommendation	96 (49.5%)	68 (35.1%)
Milk and dairy products group	Recommended or above	74 (38.1%)	158 (81.4%)
<0.001 (Aim to eat 3 servings per day)	Below recommendation	120 (61.9%)	36 (18.6%)
Fish, poultry, meat and bean group	Recommended or above	194 (100.0%)	184 (94.8%)
(Aim to eat 2 servings per day)	Below recommendation	00 (0.0%)	10 (5.2%)
Fruits group	Recommended or above	80(41.2%)	130(67.0%)
(2 - 4 servings per day)	Below recommendation	114 (58.8%)	64(33.0%)

Servings of five food groups as shown in Table-I. supplement users, 70.1% women used iron, 55.5% The frequency of women taking bread & cereals used calcium, 50.5% used folic acid, and 44.8% used servings equivalent or above recommendation was vitamin D. When participants were categorized further improved from 79.4% to 95.9% ($p=<0.001$). into two age groups, it was revealed that the age Likewise, one half of the total women were taking group 18-35 years had significantly lower mean recommended servings of vegetables, and the levels of vitamin D than of age group 36-49 years numbers were significantly increased from 50.5% to

$(16.5 \pm 8.22$ vs. $31.0 \pm 9.54)$; however, post-counselling 64.9% ($p=0.004$). Though, the frequency of women mean vitamin D levels significantly improved with appropriate intake of milk & dairy products from 16.5 ± 8.22 to 23.82 ± 15.25 ($p = <0.001$) within was the lowest among the food groups under- age group 18-35 years. Pre- and post-counselling investigation, but a remarkable post-counselling mean levels of vitamin D were low in both literate development was revealed (38.1% vs. 81.4%, $p =$ and illiterate groups and the difference was not <0.001). In meat and bean group, an unexpected significant. Within group, both literate

(14.4 ± 2.5 and significant reduction in the numbers of normal vs. 27.3 ± 15.5 , $p = 0.029$) and illiterate (17.3 ± 19.0 practicing women was observed (100.0% vs. 94.8%, vs. 23.9 ± 15.8 , $p = 0.021$) revealed significant $p = 0.002$). A slight increase in the numbers of improvement. Pre- and post-counselling mean women having plenty of fluids could be achieved levels of vitamin D were also low in both poor and (74.2% vs. 78.4%, $p = 0.339$). Overall, the frequency low-middle income groups and

the difference was of women taking recommended diet as per food not significant. Within group, both poor (17.8 ± 10.0 guide pyramid was improved from 3.1% to 37.1%. vs. 23.9 ± 15.3 , $p = 0.027$) and low-middle income The assessment of supplement use showed that group (16.0 ± 6.8 vs. 24.8 ± 16.6 , $p = 0.004$) showed 19.6% women did not take any supplement. Among significant improvement.

Table-II: Comparison of pre- and post-counselling vitamin D status.

Vitamin D status	Pre-counselling (n=194)	Post-counselling (n=194)	p-value
Deficient (<20 ng/ml)	143 (73.8%)	69 (35.7%)	
Insufficient (20-29 ng/ml)	37 (19.0%)	60 (31.0%)	0.079
Normal (30-100 ng/ml)	14 (7.1%)	65 (33.3%)	
Toxicity (>100 ng/ml)	00 (0.0%)	00 (0.0%)	

Pre-counselling frequencies of vitamin D deficiency and insufficiency were 73.8% and 19.0%, respectively. None of the participant had vitamin D level above normal. Postcounselling frequencies of vitamin D deficiency and insufficiency were 35.7% and 31.0%, respectively. The comparison between pre- and post-counselling vitamin D status showed improvement in the numbers of women with normal levels of serum vitamin D (7.1% vs. 33.3%, $p = 0.079$). Table-II.

DISCUSSION

The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends the use of nutrition education and counselling to improve the nutritional status of pregnant women.^[5] Therefore, the present study evaluated the effects of nutrition counselling on dietary practices and nutritional status of pregnant women. Zelalem et al. assessed pregnancy specific dietary knowledge and practices of pregnant women of Addis Ababa and reported improvement in knowledge (53.9% vs. 97.0%) and practices (46.8% vs. 83.7%) after nutrition education intervention by healthcare providers.^[10] Similarly, Fallah et al. evaluated awareness level of Iranian pregnant women and observed significant improvement after nutrition education intervention (3% vs. 31%, $P = <0.001$). However, the progress in awareness level was independent of maternal age, education and obesity.^[11] Diddana et al. also provided nutrition education based on Health Belief Model to Ethiopian pregnant women and reported significant improvement in good dietary practice (56.5% vs. 84.1%, $P = <0.001$).^[12] Garg et al. also reported that individual nutrition counselling and regular follow up can improve the nutritional status of pregnant women.^[13] Hence, the key finding of present study was consistent with the results of other studies that nutrition education improves the dietary knowledge, practices, and nutritional status of pregnant women.^[10-13]

Bruins et al. reported that nutritional deficiency may persist even with primary nutrition interventions; therefore, secondary prevention can be more useful

approach to address nutritional gaps. Furthermore, the authors stated that secondary prevention is potentially cost-effective mean to reduce healthcare cost and to improve the quality of life of individuals, but is often overlooked or underestimated.^[14] The results reported by Bookari et al. showed that Australian pregnant women valued the healthcare providers as the most reliable source of nutrition information; whereas media and social network were less reliable sources.^[15] The studies emphasized on the need of nutrition education by the healthcare workers; and on useful interaction between women and the healthcare providers.^[10,15] Similarly, the nutrition education intervention given by the clinical nutritionist in this study evidenced the usefulness of nutrition counselling and suggests that pregnant women seeking antenatal care should be provided with individual nutrition counselling.

Limitations of this Study: It includes small sample size without control, short duration of study and follow up period, study population mainly from poor class and limited resources to provide prescribed supplements to the participants.

CONCLUSION

Overall, nutrition counselling showed positive effects on nutritional status of pregnant women. Thus, the nutrition counseling must be an essential part of antenatal care for all pregnant women in the setting.

REFERENCES

1. Nana A, Zema T. Dietary practices and associated factors during pregnancy in northwestern Ethiopia. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth, 2018; 18(1): 183. doi: 10.1186/s12884-018-1822-1
2. Girard AW, Olude O. Nutrition education and counselling provided during pregnancy: effects on maternal, neonatal and child health outcomes. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol. 2012; 26(S1): 191-204. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3016.2012.01278.x

3. Ramakrishnan U, Imhoff-Kunsch B, Martorell R. Maternal nutrition interventions to improve maternal, newborn, and child health outcomes. *Nestle Nutr Inst Workshop Ser.*, 2014; 78: 71-80. doi: 10.1159/000354942
4. Nankumbi J, Ngabirano TD, Nalwadda G. Maternal nutrition education provided by midwives: A qualitative study in an antenatal clinic, Uganda. *J Nutr Metab*, 2018; Article ID 3987396, 7 pages. doi: 10.1155/2018/3987396.
5. World Health Organization (WHO) [Internet]. e-Library of evidence for nutrition actions (eLENA). Nutrition counselling during pregnancy. [Cited 2019 January 29]. Available from: https://www.who.int/elena/titles/nutrition_counselling_pregnancy/en/.
6. Al-Ajlan A, Al-Musharaf S, Fouad MA, Krishnaswamy S, Wani K, Aljohani NJ, et al. Lower vitamin D levels in Saudi pregnant women are associated with higher risk of developing GDM. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*, 2018; 18(1): 86. doi: 10.1186/s12884-018-1723-3.
7. Bodnar LM, Catov JM, Simhan HN, Holick MF, Powers RW, Roberts JM. Maternal Vitamin D deficiency increases the risk of preeclampsia. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2007; 92: 3517-3522. doi: 10.1210/jc.2007-0718.
8. Dovnik A, Mujezinovic F. The association of vitamin d levels with common pregnancy complications. *Nutrients*, 2018; 10(7): 867. doi: 10.3390/nu10070867.
9. Bhutta Z, Soofi S, Zaidi S, Habib A, Hussain M. Pakistan National Nutrition Survey, 2011. [Cited January 29]. Available from: https://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan_fhs_mc_women_childhealth_paediatr/262, 2019.
10. Zelalem A, Endeshaw M, Ayenew M, Shiferaw S, Yirgu R. Effect of nutrition education on pregnancy specific nutrition knowledge and healthy dietary practice among pregnant women in Addis Ababa. *Clinics Mother Child Health*, 2017; 14(3): 265. doi: 10.4172/2090-7214.1000265.
11. Fallah F, Pourabbas A, Delpisheh A, Veisani Y, Shadnoush M. Effects of nutrition education on levels of nutritional awareness of pregnant women in Western Iran. *Int J Endocrinol Metab*, 2013; 11(3): 175-178. doi: 10.5812/ijem.9122.
12. Diddana TZ, Kelkay GN, Dola AN, Sadore AA. Effect of nutrition education based on health belief model on nutritional knowledge and dietary practice of pregnant women in Dessie Town, Northeast Ethiopia: A cluster randomized control trial. *J Nutr Metab.*, 2018; Article ID 6731815, 10 pages. doi: 10.1155/2018/6731815.
13. Garg A, Kashyap S. Effect of counselling on nutritional status during pregnancy. *Indian J Pediatr*, 2006; 73(8): 687-692. doi: 10.1007/bf02898446.
14. Bruins MJ, Bird JK, Aebischer CP, Eggersdorfer M. Considerations for secondary prevention of nutritional deficiencies in high-risk groups in high-income countries. *Nutrients*, 2018; 10(1): 47. doi: 10.3390/nu10010047.
15. Bookari K, Yeatman H, Williamson M. Informing nutrition care in the antenatal period: Pregnant women's experiences and need for support. *Biomed Res Int.*, 2017; Article ID 4856527, 16 pages. doi: 10.1155/2017/4856527.