



THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FAMILY HISTORY OF CANCER AND PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTRESS

Dr. Qurat-UL-Ain Arshad^{1*}, Dr. Junaid Awais² and Dr. Muhammad Usman Ali³

¹PMDC # 92972-P.

²PMDC #: 96651-P.

³PMDC #: 99948-P.

*Corresponding Author: Dr. Qurat-UL-Ain Arshad

PMDC # 92972-P.

Article Received on 23/08/2019

Article Revised on 13/09/2019

Article Accepted on 03/10/2019

ABSTRACT

Objective: To investigate the relationship between family history of cancer, coping style and psychological distress. **Methods:** Total 80 patients with family history of cancer and 72 normal controls were analyzed using self reporting inventory (SCL-90), coping style scale and impact of event scale-revised (IES-R). **Results:** 1. Between the two groups of patients, there were significant differences in anxiety, depression, cancer-specific distress and coping style. 2. Psychological distress (anxiety, depression and cancer-specific distress) had positive correlation with negative coping style and family history. 3. Negative coping style played an intermediary role in the family history and psychological distress. **Conclusion:** The negative coping style will predispose to a more stronger psychological distress among the individuals with family history of cancer.

KEYWORDS: Anxiety, depression and cancer-specific distress.

INTRODUCTION

For the study of the relationship between family history of cancer, coping style and psychological distress, the researchers abroad mainly focus on the healthy women with a family history of breast cancer. It has been reported that the cancer-specific distress among women with a family history of breast cancer was higher than that among women without a family history.^[1,2] The coping style is an important factor in making individual environmental adaptability and psychological health. Previous study has suggested that positive coping style was associated with good psychological adjustment and negative coping style was related to maladjustment and was harmful to individual psychological health.^[3,4] The experience of immediate family members with cancer is a life stressor to their relatives, which will trigger

different cognitions that whether they will suffer from cancer by heredity in the future, lead to different coping styles and different psychological reactions.^[5,6] Cao et al investigated healthy women with a family history of breast cancer and reported that 46% of them were concerned that they would suffer from breast cancer in the future and 28% of them said that the concern that they would possibly develop breast cancer influenced their daily life.^[7] The morbidity of breast cancer, lung cancer and gastric cancer is at a relatively high level in our country. The present study employed the healthy individuals with a family history of the three kinds of cancer as subjects. Our aim was to discover the coping styles which are bad for individual stress adjustment and find out more effective coping styles by investigating the relationship between family.

Table-I: The comparison of the level of psychological distress in the two groups.

Group	Anxiety	Depression	Intrusion	Avoidance	Hyperarousal
Without family history	1.37±0.36	1.96±0.51	5.83±1.92	8.86±2.19	6.37±1.61
With family history	2.15±0.52**	3.06±0.73**	10.22±2.38**	12.27±3.1*	8.16±2.04*

*P<0.05, **P<0.01 vs. the group without family history. history of cancer, coping style and psychological distress, which will provide valuable information for psychological health intervention.

METHODS

Subjects: Subjects were divided into two groups. One group was healthy people with a family history of cancer (breast cancer, lung cancer or gastric cancer). We recruited 82 healthy people accompanying the re-examination of cancer patients. The valid sample was 80:

male 46, female 34. The average age was 44.5 ± 11.6 years old. The other group was healthy people without a family history of cancer. We recruited 76 health people without a family history in the clinic. The valid sample was 72: male 38, female 34. The average age was 43.8 ± 10.7 years old. The difference of age, sex, educational status and financial income was not statistically significant.

Research tools

General investigation forms: The information collected include sex, age, educational status, career, financial income, the relationship with cancer patients and the duration of cancer. **Simplified Coping Style Questionnaire:**

The total number of questions was 20, including 12 positive coping questions and 8 negative coping questions.^[8] **Self-reporting inventory (SCL-90):** All the two dimension questions of anxiety and depression were extracted and the total number was 23.^[9]

Impact of event scale-revised: The scale included intrusion, avoidance, and hyperarousal. The total question number was 22. The present study replaced "case" with "cancer", and the total score of the three factors means the level of cancer-specific distress. The higher the score the more distressed the patients are.^[10]

RESULTS

The comparison of the level of psychological distress in the two groups: There was significant difference between the two groups in anxiety, depression, intrusion, avoidance and hyperarousal (Table-I).

The relationship between family history of cancer, coping style and psychological distress: There was no significant correlation between positive coping style and

the five factors (anxiety, depression, intrusion, avoidance and hyperarousal) mentioned above. The family history and negative coping style had positive correlation with those factors (Table-II).

The intermediary role of negative coping style in the family history and psychological distress: The present study employed the mediating effect testing model of three steps to investigate the intermediary role of negative coping style in the family history and psychological distress (anxiety, depression and cancer-specific distress). First, we regarded family history as the argument and negative coping style as the dependent variable to investigate the predictive effect of family history on negative coping style; second, we regarded negative coping style as the argument and anxiety (depression, cancer-specific distress) as the dependent variable to investigate the predictive effect of negative coping style on anxiety (depression, cancer-specific distress); at last, hierarchical regression analysis was used to investigate the effect of family history on anxiety (depression, cancer-specific distress) when negative coping style was taken into consideration. The results suggested that negative coping style had significant positive predictive effect on anxiety (depression, cancer-specific distress), but the predictive effect of family history decreased when demographic variables were controlled (Table III and IV).

DISCUSSION

With the development of material civilization and spiritual civilization in human society, the demand for life standard and life quality is becoming increasingly high. At the same time, with the

Table-II: The relationship between family history of cancer, coping style and psychological distress.

Group	Anxiety	Depression	Intrusion	Avoidance	Hyperarousal
Family history	0.419	0.382	0.461	0.497	0.443
Positive coping style	-0.261	-0.302	-0.182	-0.227	-0.176
Negative coping style	0.486	0.611	0.372	0.594	0.570

Table III: The intermediary effect of negative coping style on anxiety and depression.

Negative coping style Anxiety (Depression)			Anxiety (Depression)			Step 3
Step 1	Step 2	Step 1	Step 2	Step 1	Step 2	
Step 1 Demographic variables						
Sex -0.108	-0.110	-0.134(-0.169)	-0.121(-0.152)	-0.134(-0.169)	-0.140(-0.176)	-0.131(-0.158)
Age	0.317	0.326	0.127(0.116)	-0.163(-0.194)	0.127(0.116)	0.132(0.127)
Economic income	0.197	0.195	0.252(0.108)	0.212(-0.137)	0.252(0.108)	0.239(0.100)
Step 2 Family history	0.374				0.557(0.542)	0.481(0.502)
Step 3 Negative coping style			0.491(0.563)			0.391(0.459)
ΔF	2.681	8.653	1.593(0.273)	17.22(26.81)	0.821(0.273)	25.49(32.85)
R ²	0.128	0.241	0.106(0.381)	0.256(0.281)	0.132(-0.124)	0.291(0.304)
ΔR^2	0.176	0.183	0.138(0.102)	0.253(0.318)	0.130(0.102)	0.302(0.337)
						0.182(0.226)

Alteration of environment and lifestyle, cancer has been one of the most serious diseases threatening people's

life. Cancer not only brings psychological distress to patients, but also influences patients' family members

dramatically. And the mental health status of family members is directly related to the mood and life quality of patients.^[11]

Often, some patients ask the doctors whether people will suffer from cancer by hereditary and whether cancer can be prevented. For those questions, it is hard to draw a conclusion simply. Plenty of medical practices suggest that hereditary factor does play a role in the development of tumor.^[12] Then, how does familial heredity phenomenon of cancer come about? At present, it is possibly due to chromosome aberration.^[13] There are 46 chromosomes in every cell of normal person. Various of carcinogens trigger chromosome aberration, which means the chromosome is different from that in normal cells in terms of number and morphology. The chromosome aberration can sometimes be passed on to offspring, which makes the next generation have the

possibility of suffering from cancer.^[14] But having the possibility of suffering from cancer does not mean suffering from cancer, that is to say the chance of suffering from cancer is higher than that of normal people. Generally speaking, cancer is related to hereditary to some extent. For the people with cancer family history, on one hand, they need to realize that although they may suffer from cancer due to hereditary, they should avoid unnecessary fear; on the other hand, they need to pay more attention on the prevention of cancer, and try to detect, diagnose and treat cancer as soon as possible.^[15] About 10%-15% of cancer is due to hereditary.^[16] In the epidemiological investigation, there exists records about familial cancer.^[17] The heritability of colorectal cancer, breast cancer, retinoblastoma and lung cancer was the highest.^[18] Although familial cancer suggests that cancer has heredity, it does not mean that all the people will.

Table IV: The intermediary effect of negative coping style on cancer-specific distress.

Negative	coping style		cancer-specific distress		cancer-specific distress		
	Step 1	Step 2	Step 1	Step 2	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3
Step 1 Demographic variables							
Sex	-0.108	-0.110	-0.116	-0.101	-0.116	-0.162	-0.128
Age	0.317	0.326	0.359	0.281	0.359	0.114	0.274
Economic income	0.197	0.195	0.161	0.104	0.161	0.146	0.172
Step 2 Family history							
		0.374				0.407	0.351
Step 3 Negative coping style							
AF	2.681	8.653	3.372	16.418	3.308	12.328	13.081
R2	0.128	0.241	0.141	0.275	0.136	0.232	0.332
ΔR2	0.176	0.183	0.172	0.240	0.172	0.201	0.206

Ps: Cancer-specific distress refers to the sum of intrusion, avoidance and hyperarousal.

Suffer from cancer by hereditary. Genetic research has found that cancer itself cannot be genetic, and what people inherit is the susceptibility to cancer.^[19] Most cancer is the result of interaction between genetic and environmental factors. The common living environment and lifestyle are intended to trigger the same cancer in a family. At present, some kinds of cancer, such as colorectal cancer and breast cancer, have genetic predisposition.^[20]

Different coping styles can affect individual's emotional state, and further affects mental health status. The present study demonstrates that patients with family history appears much stronger anxiety, depression and cancer-specific distress compared with patients without family history. On the other hand, family history has significant predictive effect on psychological distress, which is associated to the cognition that the patients with family history will possibly suffer from cancer in the future. Coping style sometimes plays a regulatory role, sometimes a intermediary role between stress and psychological reaction. The regression analysis in the present study suggests that negative coping style had significant positive predictive effect on anxiety (depression, cancer-specific distress), but the predictive

effect of family history decreased when demographic variables were controlled. Part of the intermediary role of negative coping style between family history and psychological distress is verified. The results suggest that the patients will experience higher levels of negative emotions and psychological distress by employing negative coping style to stress. The patients with a family history of cancer will be disturbed by the "hereditary" cognition, and are intended to take negative coping style, which will trigger maladjustment. Consequently, negative emotion appears, especially the cancer-specific distress, which is harmful to mental health.

REFERENCES

- Wallace E, Hinds A, Campbell H, Mackay J, Cetnarskyj R, Porteous ME. A cross-sectional survey to estimate the prevalence of family history of colorectal, breast and ovarian cancer in a Scottish general practice population. Br J Cancer, 2004; 91: 1575-1579. DOI:10.1038/sj.bjc.6602155.
- Haber G, Ahmed NU, Pekovic V. Family history of cancer and its association with breast cancer risk perception and repeat mammography. Am J Public

- Health, 2012; 102: 23222329. DOI:10.2105/ AJPH.2012.300786.
3. Casellas-Grau A, Font A, Vives J. Positive psychology interventions in breast cancer. A systematic review. *Psychooncology*, 2014; 23: 9-19. DOI:10.1002/pon.3353.
 4. Chamie K, Saigal CS, Litwin MS. Patients and solipsism: the psychology of decision making for prostate cancer treatment. *Urol Oncol*, 2011; 29: 233-234.
 5. Gorin SS. Theory, measurement, and controversy in positive psychology, health psychology, and cancer: basics and next steps. *Ann Behav Med*, 2010; 39: 43-47. DOI:10.1007/s12160010-9171-y.
 6. Weinberger MI, Bruce ML, Roth AJ, Breitbart W, Nelson CJ. Depression and barriers to mental health care in older cancer patients. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*, 2011; 26: 21-26. DOI:10.1002/gps.2497.
 7. Cao AY, He M, Di GH, Wu J, Lu JS, Liu GY, et al. Influence of a family history of breast and/or ovarian cancer on breast cancer outcomes. *Exp Ther Med*, 2011; 2: 917-923. DOI:10.3892/etm.2011.275.
 8. Walsh SM, Radcliffe RS, Castillo LC, Kumar AM, Broschard DM. A pilot study to test the effects of art-making classes for family caregivers of patients with cancer. *Oncol Nurs Forum*, 2007; 34: 38. DOI:10.1188/07.ONF.E9-E16.
 9. Paap MC, Meijer RR, Cohen-Kettenis PT, Richter-Appelt H, de Cuypere G, Kreukels BP, et al. Why the factorial structure of the SCL-90-R is unstable: comparing patient groups with different levels of psychological distress using Mokken Scale Analysis. *Psychiatry Res.*, 2012; 200: 819-826. DOI:10.1016/j.psychres.2012.03.012.
 10. Svenn J, Low A, Dyster-Aas J, Ekselius L, Willebrand M, Gerdin B. Validation of a Swedish version of the Impact of Event Scale-Revised (IES-R) in patients with burns. *J Anxiety Disord*, 2010; 24: 618-622. DOI:10.1016/j.janxdis.2010.03.021.
 11. Pravettoni G, Gorini A. A P5 cancer medicine approach: why personalized medicine cannot ignore psychology. *J Eval Clin Pract*, 2011; 17: 594-596. DOI:10.1111/j.13652753.2011.01709.x.
 12. Aspinwall LG, Taber JM, Leaf SL, Kohlmann W, Leachman SA. Genetic testing for hereditary melanoma and pancreatic cancer: a longitudinal study of psychological outcome. *Psychooncology*, 2013; 22: 276-289. DOI:10.1002/pon.2080.
 13. Macleod R, Beach A, Henriques S, Knopp J, Nelson K, Kerzin-Storrar L. Experiences of predictive testing in young people at risk of Huntington's disease, familial cardiomyopathy or hereditary breast and ovarian cancer. *Eur J Hum Genet*, 2013. DOI:10.1038/ejhg.2013.143.
 14. See WA. Commentary on "Risks of primary extracolonic cancers following colorectal cancer in Lynch syndrome." *Urol Oncol*, 2013; 31: 716. DOI:10.1016/j.urolonc.2013.03.013.
 15. McInerney-Leo A, Biesecker BB, Hadley DW, Kase RG, Giambarresi TR, Johnson E, et al. BRCA1/2 testing in hereditary breast and ovarian cancer families: effectiveness of problem-solving training as a counseling intervention. *Am J Med Genet A*, 2004; 130A: 221-227. DOI:10.1002/ajmg.a.30265.
 16. Vogelaar IP, van der Post RS, Bisseling TM, van Krieken JH, Ligtenberg MJ, Hoogerbrugge N. Familial gastric cancer: detection of a hereditary cause helps to understand its etiology. *Hered Cancer Clin Pract*, 2012; 10: 18. DOI:10.1186/1897-4287-10-18.
 17. Grana B, Balmana J. A 10-year step forward in hereditary cancer in Spain. *Clin Transl Oncol*, 2013; 15: 1-2. DOI:10.1007/s12094-012-0973-1.
 18. Hirasawa A, Tsuruta T, Banno K, Susumu N, Aoki D. [Hereditary endometrial cancer and genetic testing]. *Nihon Rinsho*, 2012; 70 Suppl 4: 292-296.
 19. Boland CR. Taking the starch out of hereditary colorectal cancer. *Lancet Oncol*, 2012; 13: 1179-1180. DOI:10.1016/S1470-2045.
 20. Schuetz JM, Leach S, Kaurah P, Jeyes J, Butterfield Y, Huntsman D, et al. Catenin family genes are not commonly mutated in hereditary diffuse gastric cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*, 2012; 21: 2272-2274. DOI:10.1158/1055-9965.