

COMMON COMPLAINTS ASSOCIATED WITH CAESAREAN SECTION DURING SPINAL ANESTHESIADr. Nitasha Ishfaq¹, Dr. Tayyeba Afzal*² and Dr. Saleh Ahmed³

Pakistan.

*Corresponding Author: Dr. Tayyeba Afzal

Pakistan.

Article Received on 20/07/2019

Article Revised on 10/08/2019

Article Accepted on 30/08/2019

ABSTRACT

Objective: To identify common complaints associated with caesarean section under spinal anesthesia and manage them, in order to decrease the anxiety and distress level of the patients. **Methods:** Cross sectional observational study was conducted at Department of Anesthesia, Jinnah Hospital Lahore from April 2017 to September 2017. Hundred patients aged from 20-36 years underwent elective caesarean section under spinal anesthesia. Patients received nothing per oral for 6 hours. Metoclopramide and Ranitidine IV were given half hour before surgery. Colloid 10ml/kg was given IV before induction of spinal anesthesia. All patients were placed in sit-ting position and under aseptic conditions lumbar puncture was done at L3L4 or L4-L5 interspaces with 25-gauge pencil point needle to administer local anesthetic over 20 seconds slowly. Oxygen 4liters/minute was administered via a Hudson mask. All the patients were asked for any complaint during caesarean section under spinal anesthesia. More than five common complaints were considered and similar complaints which are less than five were not included in the study. **Results:** Out of 100 patients, 67% patients had no complaints and 33% patients presented common complaints. Visceral pain or abdominal discomfort was 19%, shivering 11%, nausea and vomiting 10%, epigastria pain 6%, backache 5% and headache 5%. **Conclusion:** Spinal anesthesia is an excellent technique for caesarean section in majority of patients. Patients have various complaints during spinal anesthesia which may increase anxiety and distress levels in patients.

KEYWORDS: Caesarean section, spinal anesthesia, common complaints, caesarean section.**INTRODUCTION**

Over 20% of deliveries require a caesarean section for the birth of the baby. Caesarean deliveries are performed as an elective or an emergency procedure under General, Spinal, Epidural or combined spinal epidural anesthesia. The majority of caesarean sections are done under spinal anesthesia now. Spinal Anesthesia is preferred for caesarean section because it is simple to perform and is economical. It produces rapid onset of anesthesia, patients remain awake and alert, associated with less nausea and vomiting, minimal neonatal depression, less incidence of aspiration pneumonitis and adequate muscle relaxation. Spinal anesthesia produces a fixed duration of anesthesia and some associated complications include hypotension, shivering, visceral pain or discomfort, nausea, vomiting, and headache during or after the procedure. Sometimes spinal anesthesia is converted into general anesthesia. Major maternal complaints during caesarean section under spinal anesthesia were visceral pain or discomfort. Visceral pain, nausea, vomiting, shivering and other complaints become very severe, therefore sometimes may require general anesthesia if the patients' discomfort cannot be controlled. The conversion rate from spinal to general anesthesia's 0.7-

2.8% and even higher in emergency caesarean section that is 4.9%. Visceral pain is dull poorly localized and the visceral sensation is described as heaviness, squeezing or unpleasant feeling associated with nausea and vomiting. Sometimes it is associated with exteriorization of the uterus. Intra-operative nausea and vomiting is uncomfortable to the patients and may cause protrusion of abdominal viscera rendering surgery more difficult and increasing the risk of visceral injury. Patient feels a lot of anxiety and distress during spinal anesthesia. Anxiety and distress level of anesthetist is also very high during spinal anesthesia due to various complaints of the patients. The purpose of this study was to identify the common complaints associated with caesarean section under spinal anesthesia and manage them, in order to decrease the anxiety and distress level of the patients.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This cross-sectional observational study was conducted at Department of Anesthesia, Jinnah Hospital Lahore from April 2017 to September 2017. Convenience sampling was done and 100 patients were included. All the patients belonged to American Society of Anesthesiology (ASA) grade I and II, aged from 20 to 36

years undergoing elective caesarean section under spinal anesthesia (Table I). Patients were asked for the type of anesthesia preoperatively. Thirty-six patients agreed for general anesthesia, 40 patients for spinal anesthesia and 24 patients left the decision on attending anesthetist. Thirty-six patients who initially agreed for general anesthesia, were explained the advantages of spinal anesthesia over general anesthesia. After knowing the benefits of spinal anesthesia they agreed for spinal anesthesia. Exclusion criteria was refusal for spinal anesthesia, pregnancy induced hypertension, known fetal abnormality, allergy to bupivacaine, bleeding disorder and infection at the site of injection. Each patient had fasting for six hours and Metoclopramide and Ranitidine IV were administered half hour before surgery. Heart rate, blood pressure and oxygen saturation were recorded. Intravenous line was maintained. Colloid 10ml/kg was given IV before induction of spinal anesthesia Each patient was placed in sitting position and under aseptic conditions lumbar puncture was done at L3-L4 or L4-L5 inter vertebral spaces with 25-gauge pencil point needle (sprotte) and local anesthetic Bupivacaine 0.75% was administered over 20 seconds. The dose of Bupivacaine was adjusted according to the height of the patient that is 1.6 ml for women <150 centimeters and 1.8 ml for women >150 centimeters. After spinal anesthesia, the patients were turned to supine position with lateral immediately to avoid aorto-caval compression. The spread of analgesia was assessed by pinprick after spinal anesthesia while motor block was assessed by using the Bromage scale. Oxygen 4 liters /minute was administered via a Hudson mask. Pulse rate, Blood pressure and oxygen saturation were recorded after an interval of 2 minutes for the first 15minutes and then at 5 minutes' interval throughout the surgery. Hypotension, defined as decrease in systolic pressure less than 90 mmHg or decrease of blood pressure 20% from base line, was treated with boluses of IV Ephedrine. Intraoperative pain was treated with IV Nalbuphine 510mg, Midazolam 2-5mg and Ketamine 25-50mg. Nausea or vomiting with IV Metoclopramide 10mg after excluding intraoperative hypotension. Intra operative shivering was treated by Tramadol 25-50mg and epigastric pain with IV Ranitidine 50mg. Headache was managed by reassurance and Midazolam (Table II). Intensity of pain was assessed by numerical pain rating scale; 1-3 for mild pain 4-6 for moderate pain and 7-10 for severe pain. The intensity of intra operative shivering was graded as mild with no visible muscle activity, moderate with more than one muscle group and no generalized shaking and severe with violent muscle activity that involves the whole body. All the patients were asked for any complaint during caesarean section under spinal anesthesia. Similar complaints which were similar and more than 5 in numbers were considered as common complaints. Complaints less than 5 in numbers were not included in this study. Statistical analyses were performed by using Statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) version 14; quantitative variables were

expressed as mean \pm SD (standard deviation) while qualitative variables were expressed as percentage.

Table 1: Level of spinal space at which Bupivacaine was given and the dermatome level achieved in patients (n=100) with spinal anesthesia.

| Characteristics | Number of patient's (%) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| ASA classification | 1 88(88) |
| | 11 12(12) |
| Spinal space L3-4 | 78(78) |
| L4-5 | 22(22) |
| Dose Bupivacaine (ml) | 1.6 21(21) |
| | 1.8 79(79) |
| Height achieved (dermatome level) | T4 81(81) |
| | T6 19(19) |

Table 2: Treatment given to patients with common complaints during spinal anesthesia.

| Drugs | Number of patient's (%) |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Ephedrine | 6(6) |
| Midazolam & Nalbuphine | 6(6) |
| Ranitidine | 5(5) |
| Nalbuphine | 5(5) |
| Midazolam, Nalbuphine & Ketamine | 4(4) |
| Tramadol | 3(3) |
| Metoclopramide | 2(2) |
| Midazolam, Nalbuphine & Tramadol | 1(1) |
| Nalbuphine & Ephedrine | 1(1) |

Table 3: Common complaints of patients (n=100) during caesarean section with spinal anesthesia.

| Common complaint | Number of Frequency Patients (n=100) n (%) |
|----------------------------|--|
| Visceral pain or abdominal | Mild pain 7(7) |
| Discomfort n=19 | Moderate pain 8(8) |
| Severe pain | 4(4) |
| Epigastric pain n=6 | Mild pain 4(4) |
| Moderate pain | 2(2) |
| Severe pain | 0(0) |
| Nausea & vomiting n=10 | Nausea 7(7) |
| Vomiting | 3(3) |
| Shivering n=11 | Mild 7(7) |
| Moderate | 4(4) |
| Backache n=5 | Backache 5(5) |
| Headache n=5 | Headache 5(5) |

RESULTS

Number of patients included in this study was 100. The mean age of the patients was 27.09 ± 5.8 years and duration of caesarean section was 53.79 ± 5.8 minutes. None of the patients had any complaint during caesarean section under spinal anesthesia before delivery of fetus. Patients who had no complaint were 67% whereas 33% patients had complaints during spinal anesthesia. There was high incidence of complaints found in patients convinced for spinal anesthesia. Out of 33% patients 20% were those who were convinced for spinal anesthesia. Visceral pain or abdominal discomfort was present in

19%, Shivering was present in 11%, Nausea and vomiting was experienced by 10%, epigastric pain in 6% of the patients. Patients complaining of backache were 5% and headache was present in 5% (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

Recent trends of obstetric anesthesia show increased popularity of regional anesthesia. General anesthesia is associated with high mortality rates as compared to regional anesthesia. Locally anesthesia combined with narcotics to produces adequate depth of anesthesia. Visceral pains a common problem in caesarian section under spinal anesthesia. The visceral pain is dull poorly localized unpleasant feeling often accompanied by nausea and vomiting, exteriorization of uterus after delivery and manipulation of abdominal viscera. Our results showed high incidence of visceral pain that was 19%. Three patients out of 19 felt abdominal discomfort even when abdomen was closed and pressure just applied on the stitched wound. Borate al found no visceral pain in high doses of anesthetic agent, 'Bupivacaine' is common for spinal Bupivacaine, however visceral pain was not fully abolished with low doses of Bupivacaine. Choietal showed high incidence of visceral pain that was 35% with low dose and 20% with high dose Bupivacaine. Pedersen et al reported visceral pain in 31.6% which is high as compared to our study Nausea and vomiting are troublesome side effects encountered during spinal anesthesia for caesarian section. Possible etiology includes hypotension and peritoneal manipulation. The abrupt diaphragmatic contraction present in emesis was uncomfortable to the patients and might cause protrusion of abdominal viscera rending surgery more difficult. Incidence of nausea and vomiting in our study was 10% and 8 patients developed nausea and vomiting probably due to hypotension. They did not complain for nausea and vomiting once their blood pressure came to base line level. The remaining two developed nauseas and vomiting in the absence of hypotension Shahriri et al. showed that intraoperative frequency of nausea vomiting treated with Midazolam was 15% and with Metoclopramide was 52% under spinal anesthesia. This is very high as compared to our study. Carpenter et al. found incidence of nausea in 18% and vomiting in 7% of patients under spinal anesthesia. Incidence of nausea vomiting is low about 8.4%, when patients were treated with Metoclopramide and Ondansetron prophylactically which is almost same as in our study Shivering associated with spinal anesthesia is common. Shivering is uncomfortable for the patients, may interfere with monitoring of ECG, B.P monitoring and oxygen saturation. It increases oxygen consumption lactic acidosis and carbon dioxide production. Incidence of shivering in our study was 11%. Talakaub et al. have reported an incidence of 40%-50%. Shukla et al. evaluated that disappearance of shivering was earlier with Clonidine as compared to Tramadol under spinal anesthesia. Honget al. showed the incidence of shivering after spinal anesthesia with bupivacaine was 23.3% which decreased to 13.3% when Bupivacaine was

combined with narcotics. Mohta et al. used Tramadol in three doses, all three doses of Tramadol were effective in preventing post anesthesia shivering. Abdurrahman et al. used Midazolam, Midazolam plus, Ketamine, Tramadol, Tramadol plus Ketamine during regional anesthesia the incidence of shivering ranged from 15-55%. The incidence reported in this study is very high as compared to our study Honarmand et al. showed prophylactic use of Ketamine plus Midazolam was more effective than Ketamine or Midazolam in preventing shivering developed during regional anesthesia. Incidence of epigastric pain was 6%. All responded well to 1VRanitidine. Intraoperative headache was 5%. No obvious reason was found for headache, 4 patients after spinal anesthesia became hypotensive and were given IV ephedrine after which their blood pressure was higher than their base line BP, which could be the cause of headache. Backache was found in 5% of the patients probably due to pro-longed operating time which lasted for more than 65minutes.

CONCLUSION

Spinal anesthesia is an excellent technique for caesarean section. Patients have various complaints during spinal anesthesia which may increase anxiety and distress level in patients convinced for spinal anesthesia had more complaints as compared to those who were willing for spinal anesthesia. Those patients who are reluctant for spinal anesthesia should be considered for general anesthesia.

REFERENCES

1. Kan RK, Lew E, Yeo SW, Thomas E. General anesthesia for cesarean section in a Singapore maternity hospital: a retrospective survey. *Int J Obstet Anesth*, 2004; 13: 221-6.
2. Bogra J, Arora N, Srivastava P. Synergistic effect of Intrathecal fentanyl and bupivacaine in spinal anesthesia for cesarean section. *BMC Anesthesiol*, 2005; 5: 5.
3. Juhani TP, Hannele H. Complications during spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery: a clinical report of one year's experience. *Reg Anesth*, 1993; 18: 128-31.
4. Nakagawa M, Kinouchi K, Miyaqawa Y, Lura A, Shimizu T, Kitamura S. 7-year survey of anesthesia for cesarean section--comparison of tetracaine and bupivacaine as Intrathecal anaesthetic agents. *Masui*, 2007; 56: 61-8.
5. Kinsella SM. A prospective audit of regional anaesthesia failure in 5080 Caesarean sections. *Anaesthesia*, 2008; 63: 822-32.
6. Weksler N, Ovadia L, Stav A, Ribac L. Comparison of visceral pain incidence during cesarean section performed under spinal or epidural anesthesia. *J Anesth*, 1992; 6: 69-74.
7. Hirabayashi Y, Saitoh K, Fukuda H, Shimizu R. Visceral pain during caesarean section: effect

- of varying dose of spinal amethocaine. *Br J Anaesth*, 1995; 75: 266-8.
8. Garcia-Miguel FJ, Montano E, Mart Vicente V, Fuentes A, Alsina FJ, San Jose J. Prophylaxis against Intraoperative Nausea and Vomiting During Spinal Anesthesia for Cesarean Section: A Comparative Study of Ondansetron versus Metoclopramide. *The Internet journal of anesthesiology* [Internet], 1999; 4. Available from: <http://www.ispub.com/IJA/4/2/8964>.
 9. Harten JM, Boyne I, Hannah P, Varveris D, Brown A. Effects of a height and weight adjusted dose of local anaesthetic for spinal anaesthesia for elective Caesarean section. *Anaesthesia*, 2005; 60: 348-53.
 10. Choi DH, Ahn HJ, Kim MH. Bupivacaine sparing effect of fentanyl in spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*, 2000; 25: 240-5.
 11. Pedersen H, Santos AC, Steinberg ES, Schapiro HM, Harmon TW, Finster M. Incidence of visceral pain during cesarean section: the effect of varying doses of spinal bupivacaine. *Anesth Analg*, 1989; 69: 46-9.
 12. Shahriari A, Khooshideh M, Heidari MH. Prevention of nausea and vomiting in caesarean section under spinal anaesthesia with midazolam or Metoclopramide. *J Pak Med Assoc*, 2009; 59: 756-9.
 13. Carpenter RL, Caplan RA, Brown DL, Stephenson C, Wu R. Incidence and risk factors for side effects of spinal anaesthesia. *Anesthesiology*, 1992; 76: 906-16.
 14. Talakoub R, Noorimeshkati S. Tramadol versus meperidine in the treatment of shivering during spinal anesthesia in caesarean section. *J Res Med Sci*, 2006; 11: 151-5.
 15. Shukla U, Malhotra K, Prabhakar T. A comparative study of the effect of clonidine and tramadol on post-spinal anaesthesia shivering. *Indian J Anaesth*, 2011; 55: 242-6.
 16. Hong JY, Lee IH. Comparison of the effects of Intrathecal morphine and pethidine on shivering after Caesarean delivery under combined-spinal epidural anaesthesia. *Anesthesia*, 2005; 60: 1668-72.
 17. Mohta M, Kumari N, Tyagi A, Sethi AK, Agarwal D, Singh M. Tramadol for prevention of post - anaesthetic shivering: a randomized double-blind comparison with pethidine. *Anaesthesia*, 2009; 64: 1416.
 18. Abdelrahman RS. Prevention of shivering during regional anaesthesia: Comparison of Midazolam, Midazolam plus Ketamine, Tramadol, and Tramadol plus Ketamine. *Life Science Journal*, 2012; 9: 132-9.
 19. Honarmand A, Safavi MR. Comparison of prophylactic use of midazolam, ketamine, and ketamine plus midazolam for prevention of shivering during regional anaesthesia: a randomized double-blind placebo controlled trial. *Br J Anaesth*, 2008; 101: 557-62.