

ANTIBACTERIAL PROPERTIES OF CITRUS SINENSIS IN WOUND INFECTION
CONTROL: A REVIEW STUDYShruti Pandey^{*1}, Dr. Atul Khajaria^{*2} and Dr. Raj Kumar^{*3}¹PhD Scholar Microbiology, Desh Bhagat University, Mandi Gobindgarh (Punjab).²Director of Allied Health Sciences, Professor, Department of Microbiology, Desh Bhagat University, Mandi Gobindgarh (Punjab).³Professor, Department of Microbiology, Genesis Institute of Dental Science and Research, Firozpur (Punjab).***Corresponding Author: Shruti Pandey**

PhD Scholar Microbiology, Desh Bhagat University, Mandi Gobindgarh (Punjab).

Article Received on 21/03/2025

Article Revised on 10/04/2025

Article Accepted on 30/04/2025

ABSTRACT

Wound infections are a significant global health concern, often caused by bacterial pathogens such as *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Escherichia coli*. The rising prevalence of antibiotic resistance has made the treatment of these infections increasingly challenging, necessitating the exploration of alternative therapeutic agents. *Citrus sinensis* (sweet orange), widely recognized for its nutritional and economic value, has gained attention for its antibacterial properties derived from its rich phytochemical composition, including flavonoids, phenolic acids, and essential oils. Various extracts of *Citrus sinensis*, including peel, juice, and essential oil, have demonstrated significant antibacterial activity against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria isolated from wound infections. Additionally, synergistic effects have been observed when *Citrus sinensis* extracts are combined with conventional antibiotics, enhancing their antibacterial potency. This review highlights the antibacterial potential of *Citrus sinensis* and emphasizes its role as a sustainable and natural therapeutic agent in combating bacterial wound infections.

KEYWORDS: *Citrus sinensis*, antibacterial activity, wound infection, bioactive compounds, antibiotic resistance.**INTRODUCTION**

Wound infections remain a significant global health concern, contributing to prolonged hospital stays, increased healthcare costs, and higher morbidity and mortality rates. These infections often result from bacterial colonization and proliferation within a wound site, which impedes the natural healing process. Among the most commonly isolated bacterial pathogens from wound infections are *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Escherichia coli*. With the rising prevalence of antibiotic resistance, conventional treatments have become less effective, necessitating the exploration of alternative therapeutic agents. In recent years, natural products, particularly plant-based remedies, have gained considerable attention as potential sources of antimicrobial agents. One such promising candidate is *Citrus sinensis*, commonly known as sweet orange.^[1] This widely cultivated fruit is not only valued for its economic and nutritional significance but also for its medicinal properties. Its peel, juice, and essential oils are rich in bioactive compounds such as flavonoids, alkaloids, phenolic acids, and essential oils, all of which exhibit antimicrobial properties. This review explores the antibacterial activity of *Citrus sinensis* against bacterial

strains commonly isolated from wound infections, emphasizing its potential as a natural therapeutic agent.^[2]

The Burden of Wound Infections and Antibiotic Resistance: Wound infections occur when pathogenic microorganisms colonize a wound site, overcoming the host's immune defences' and leading to tissue damage, delayed healing, and systemic complications. These infections can arise from surgical procedures, traumatic injuries, burns, or chronic wounds such as diabetic foot ulcers and pressure sores.^[3] Pathogens like *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Escherichia coli* are frequently associated with wound infections, displaying a range of virulence factors that enable them to evade immune responses and form biofilms. The overuse and misuse of antibiotics have significantly contributed to the emergence of multidrug-resistant bacterial strains, making treatment increasingly challenging. The World Health Organization (WHO) has declared antimicrobial resistance (AMR) one of the top global health threats, highlighting the urgent need for novel antimicrobial agents. In this context, exploring plant-based alternatives like *Citrus sinensis* offers a sustainable and effective approach to managing wound infections.^[4]

Phytochemical Composition of *Citrus sinensis*: The antibacterial properties of *Citrus sinensis* can be largely attributed to its rich phytochemical profile. The fruit contains a wide range of bioactive compounds, including flavonoids (hesperidin, naringin, rutin), phenolic acids (caffeic acid, ferulic acid), alkaloids, carotenoids, and essential oils.^[5] The peel of *Citrus sinensis* is particularly abundant in limonene, a major constituent of its essential oil, which exhibits strong antimicrobial activity. These compounds act synergistically to disrupt bacterial cell membranes, inhibit enzyme activity, and interfere with bacterial biofilm formation. Additionally, flavonoids and phenolic acids possess antioxidant properties, which help reduce oxidative stress in infected tissues and promote wound healing. The variability in the concentration of these bioactive compounds depends on factors such as fruit maturity, extraction methods, and environmental conditions. Understanding the phytochemical composition of *Citrus sinensis* is crucial for optimizing its use as an antibacterial agent in wound management.^[6]

Mechanisms of Antibacterial Activity of *Citrus sinensis*: The antibacterial activity of *Citrus sinensis* operates through multiple mechanisms, targeting both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial strains. One primary mode of action is the disruption of bacterial cell membranes, leading to leakage of cellular contents and eventual cell lysis. Essential oils from *Citrus sinensis*, particularly limonene and linalool, have been shown to penetrate bacterial membranes, increasing permeability and causing structural damage.^[7] Additionally, phenolic compounds can inhibit essential bacterial enzymes involved in metabolic processes, preventing bacterial growth and proliferation. Flavonoids, on the other hand, can chelate metal ions required for bacterial enzyme activity, further hindering bacterial function. Another critical mechanism is the inhibition of bacterial biofilm formation. Biofilms protect bacteria from immune responses and antibiotics, making infections harder to treat. Compounds in *Citrus sinensis* disrupt biofilm integrity, enhancing the susceptibility of bacterial cells to antimicrobial agents. These multifaceted mechanisms highlight the potential of *Citrus sinensis* as an effective antibacterial agent.^[8]

Methods of Extracting Antibacterial Compounds from *Citrus sinensis*: The effectiveness of *Citrus sinensis* as an antibacterial agent is heavily influenced by the methods used to extract its bioactive compounds. Various extraction techniques have been employed, including Soxhlet extraction, cold press extraction, steam distillation, and maceration.^[9] Each method differs in its efficiency, yield, and impact on the integrity of bioactive compounds. Soxhlet extraction, using solvents such as ethanol or methanol, is widely used for extracting flavonoids and phenolic acids. Cold press extraction is commonly applied to obtain essential oils, preserving the volatile compounds responsible for antibacterial activity. Steam distillation is another effective method for isolating essential oils with minimal degradation of heat-

sensitive compounds. Maceration, although less efficient, is a simpler method used for aqueous extracts. The choice of extraction method depends on the intended application, target compounds, and available resources. Standardizing these extraction techniques is essential for ensuring the consistency and reproducibility of *Citrus sinensis* extracts in antibacterial studies.^[10]

Potential Applications in Wound Care: The antibacterial activity of *Citrus sinensis* holds significant promise for applications in wound care. Extracts from its peel, juice, and essential oils can be incorporated into wound dressings, ointments, and gels to provide localized antibacterial effects. Essential oils from *Citrus sinensis* have demonstrated inhibitory effects against *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, two of the most common wound-infecting bacteria. Additionally, the antioxidant properties of flavonoids and phenolic acids in *Citrus sinensis* help reduce inflammation, prevent oxidative stress, and promote tissue regeneration. Advanced wound dressings infused with *Citrus sinensis* extracts have shown promising results in laboratory and preclinical studies. Moreover, the use of *Citrus sinensis*-based formulations may reduce the reliance on synthetic antibiotics, mitigating the risk of antibiotic resistance. Further clinical trials are necessary to validate the efficacy and safety of these applications in real-world wound care scenarios.^[11]

Challenges and Future Perspectives: While *Citrus sinensis* exhibits strong antibacterial potential, several challenges remain in translating laboratory findings into clinical applications. Variability in phytochemical content due to environmental and processing factors poses a significant challenge in standardizing extracts. Additionally, the bioavailability and stability of bioactive compounds in pharmaceutical formulations require further investigation. Safety and toxicity studies are essential to determine the appropriate dosages for topical and systemic applications. Furthermore, clinical trials are needed to assess the long-term effects and efficacy of *Citrus sinensis*-based therapies in human subjects. Future research should also focus on developing innovative delivery systems, such as nanotechnology-based formulations, to enhance the bioavailability and targeted delivery of *Citrus sinensis* extracts. Addressing these challenges will pave the way for the integration of *Citrus sinensis*-based therapies into mainstream wound care management.^[12,13]

Citrus sinensis represents a promising natural source of antibacterial agents against wound-infecting bacteria. Its rich phytochemical composition, diverse mechanisms of action, and potential applications in wound care highlight its significance as an alternative to conventional antibiotics. However, further research and clinical validation are necessary to fully realize its therapeutic potential. With continued exploration and innovation, *Citrus sinensis* may play a crucial role in combating

wound infections and addressing the global challenge of antibiotic resistance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This review study was conducted to evaluate the antibacterial activity of *Citrus sinensis* (sweet orange) against bacteria isolated from wound infections. The study followed a structured approach based on established guidelines for conducting scientific reviews, emphasizing transparency, reproducibility, and clarity. A comprehensive literature search was performed across multiple electronic databases, including **PubMed**, **Scopus**, **Web of Science**, and **Google Scholar**, covering studies published up to **2017-2024** without any geographical restrictions. Keywords and Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms such as "*Citrus sinensis*", "Sweet orange", "Antibacterial activity", "Wound infection bacteria", "Bacterial isolates from wound infections", and "Phytochemicals from *Citrus sinensis*" were utilized. Boolean operators (**AND**, **OR**) were employed to refine the search strategy, and additional relevant studies were identified through manual screening of reference lists from selected articles.

The eligibility criteria for study inclusion required original research articles investigating the antibacterial

activity of *Citrus sinensis* extracts, juice, peel, or essential oils against bacterial strains commonly isolated from wound infections, such as *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Escherichia coli*. Only peer-reviewed studies published in English were considered. Exclusion criteria included review articles, editorials, conference abstracts, or book chapters, studies lacking clear methodologies or incomplete experimental data, research unrelated to wound-infecting bacterial isolates, and duplicate publications.

The study selection process involved a two-step approach: first, titles and abstracts of retrieved articles were independently screened by two reviewers to identify potentially relevant studies. Second, full texts of selected articles were assessed for compliance with the eligibility criteria. Any disagreements during the selection process were resolved through discussion or consultation with a third reviewer.

As this study is a review of publicly available data and did not involve direct human or animal subjects, ethical approval was not required. The review adhered to established ethical guidelines for systematic reviews, ensuring integrity and compliance with scientific standards.

Table 1: The Antibacterial Activity of *Citrus sinensis*.

Author (Year)	Sample Type	Bacterial Strain	Extraction Method	Solvent Used	Assay Method	Outcome Measured	Key Findings
Garcia et al. (2017) ^[14]	Peel Extract	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Soxhlet Extraction	Ethanol	Disc Diffusion	Zone of Inhibition	Significant inhibition of <i>S. aureus</i> growth
Smith et al. (2018) ^[15]	Peel Extract	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Soxhlet Extraction	Ethanol	Disc Diffusion	Zone of Inhibition	Significant inhibition of <i>S. aureus</i> growth
Ahmed et al. (2018) ^[16]	Juice	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	Cold Press	Water	Broth Dilution	MIC Value	Moderate inhibition observed
Wilson et al. (2018) ^[17]	Peel Extract	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Soxhlet Extraction	Ethanol	Disc Diffusion	Zone of Inhibition	High antibacterial efficacy observed
Ali et al. (2018) ^[18]	Peel Extract	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Soxhlet Extraction	Ethanol	Disc Diffusion	Zone of Inhibition	Significant inhibition of <i>S. aureus</i> growth
Johnson et al. (2019) ^[19]	Juice	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	Cold Press	Water	Broth Dilution	MIC Value	<i>P. aeruginosa</i> showed moderate sensitivity
Brown et al. (2019) ^[20]	Essential Oil	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Steam Distillation	Methanol	MIC	Bacterial Growth Inhibition	<i>E. coli</i> showed low sensitivity
Zhang et al. (2019) ^[21]	Juice	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	Cold Press	Water	Broth Dilution	MIC Value	Moderate antibacterial effect observed
Khan et al. (2020) ^[22]	Essential Oil	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Steam Distillation	Methanol	MIC	Bacterial Growth Inhibition	<i>E. coli</i> exhibited high resistance
Nguyen et al. (2020) ^[23]	Juice	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	Cold Press	Water	Broth Dilution	MIC Value	Moderate sensitivity

Author (Year)	Study Location	Concentration Tested	Incubation Period	Temperature (°C)	Control Used	Statistical Analysis	Final Results
Lee et al. (2021) ^[24]	Whole Fruit Extract	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Maceration	Aqueous	Agar Well Diffusion	Zone of Inhibition	detected Synergistic effects observed with antibiotics
Martinez et al. (2021) ^[25]	Essential Oil	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Steam Distillation	Methanol	MIC	Bacterial Growth Inhibition	Resistance noted in bacterial isolates
Singh et al. (2021) ^[26]	Essential Oil	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Steam Distillation	Methanol	MIC	Bacterial Growth Inhibition	Limited antibacterial response
Chen et al. (2022) ^[27]	Whole Fruit Extract	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Maceration	Aqueous	Agar Well Diffusion	Zone of Inhibition	Effective inhibition of <i>S. aureus</i> growth
Patel et al. (2023) ^[28]	Whole Fruit Extract	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Maceration	Aqueous	Agar Well Diffusion	Zone of Inhibition	Synergistic effects observed with antibiotics
Torres et al. (2024) ^[29]	Whole Fruit Extract	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Maceration	Aqueous	Agar Well Diffusion	Zone of Inhibition	Synergistic effects observed with antibiotics

Table 2: Quantitative Outcomes from Studies on the Antibacterial Activity of *Citrus sinensis*.

Author (Year)	Study Location	Concentration Tested	Incubation Period	Temperature (°C)	Control Used	Statistical Analysis	Final Results
Garcia et al. (2017) ^[14]	Spain	50 mg/mL	24 hours	37°C	Positive Control	ANOVA	Zone of Inhibition: 18.5 mm
Smith et al. (2018) ^[15]	USA	25 mg/mL	48 hours	35°C	Negative Control	t-test	Zone of Inhibition: 12.3 mm
Ahmed et al. (2018) ^[16]	Egypt	75 mg/mL	24 hours	37°C	Positive Control	Chi-square	MIC: 0.75 mg/mL
Wilson et al. (2018) ^[17]	UK	100 mg/mL	72 hours	37°C	Positive Control	Regression Analysis	Zone of Inhibition: 21.7 mm
Ali et al. (2018) ^[18]	Pakistan	50 µL/mL	24 hours	36°C	Negative Control	ANOVA	MIC: 0.5 µL/mL
Johnson et al. (2019) ^[19]	Canada	30 mg/mL	48 hours	37°C	Positive Control	Descriptive Analysis	MIC: 1.2 mg/mL
Brown et al. (2019) ^[20]	Australia	60 mg/mL	24 hours	35°C	Positive Control	t-test	Zone of Inhibition: 16.4 mm
Zhang et al. (2019) ^[21]	China	40 mg/mL	24 hours	37°C	Negative Control	ANOVA	MIC: 1.0 mg/mL
Khan et al. (2020) ^[22]	India	100 µL/mL	72 hours	36°C	Positive Control	Regression Analysis	Zone of Inhibition: 19.8 mm
Nguyen et al. (2020) ^[23]	Vietnam	25 mg/mL	48 hours	37°C	Positive Control	Chi-square	MIC: 1.5 mg/mL
Lee et al. (2021) ^[24]	South Korea	75 mg/mL	24 hours	35°C	Negative Control	ANOVA	Zone of Inhibition: 20.2 mm
Martinez et al. (2021) ^[25]	Mexico	50 µL/mL	72 hours	37°C	Positive Control	Descriptive Analysis	MIC: 0.6 µL/mL
Singh et al. (2021) ^[26]	India	30 mg/mL	48 hours	35°C	Positive Control	t-test	MIC: 1.3 mg/mL
Chen et al. (2022) ^[27]	China	40 mg/mL	24 hours	37°C	Negative Control	Regression Analysis	Zone of Inhibition: 17.9 mm
Patel et al. (2023) ^[28]	UK	60 mg/mL	72 hours	36°C	Positive Control	ANOVA	Zone of Inhibition: 22.1 mm
Torres et al. (2024) ^[29]	Brazil	100 µL/mL	48 hours	37°C	Negative Control	Chi-square	MIC: 0.8 µL/mL

DISCUSSION

Wound infections remain a persistent challenge in healthcare, often complicated by antibiotic resistance and biofilm formation by pathogenic bacteria. The potential of *Citrus sinensis* (sweet orange) as an antibacterial agent has drawn significant attention due to its natural origin, cost-effectiveness, and abundance of bioactive

compounds. This discussion evaluates the findings from various studies on the antibacterial activity of *Citrus sinensis*, focusing on its efficacy against bacterial strains commonly isolated from wound infections, including *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Escherichia coli*. Multiple studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of *Citrus sinensis* extracts and essential oils

against wound-infecting bacteria. Lopez et al. (2017) highlighted the strong antibacterial potential of *Citrus sinensis* essential oil against *Staphylococcus aureus*.^[9] The study showed that essential oil disrupted bacterial cell membranes, leading to leakage of intracellular contents and bacterial cell death. Similarly, Fernandez et al. (2018) reported significant inhibitory effects of *Citrus sinensis* peel extract on multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, emphasizing its potential as an alternative to synthetic antibiotics in wound management.^[7]

Hassan et al. (2018) demonstrated that juice extracts from *Citrus sinensis* inhibited the growth of *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from wound infections. The juice extracts exhibited bacteriostatic activity, which prevented bacterial proliferation, suggesting that different parts of *Citrus sinensis* exhibit varying levels of antibacterial efficacy.^[5] Additionally, Alam et al. (2020) found that aqueous and ethanolic extracts of *Citrus sinensis* peel exhibited significant antibacterial effects against both *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. These findings suggest that the choice of extraction solvent plays a crucial role in determining the antibacterial efficacy of *Citrus sinensis*.^[6] The antibacterial activity of *Citrus sinensis* is attributed to its rich phytochemical composition, which includes flavonoids, alkaloids, phenolic acids, and essential oils. Wu et al. (2020) observed that essential oils derived from *Citrus sinensis* interfere with bacterial cell membranes, increasing their permeability and causing cell lysis.^[2] The primary component, limonene, plays a significant role in destabilizing the bacterial membrane structure. Similarly, Ramirez et al. (2019) highlighted the synergistic effect of *Citrus sinensis* essential oils when combined with conventional antibiotics, resulting in enhanced bacterial inhibition.^[11] The biofilm formation ability of bacteria such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus* poses a significant challenge in wound infection management. Oluwafemi et al. (2022) demonstrated that *Citrus sinensis* peel extract effectively disrupted biofilm formation, making bacterial colonies more susceptible to antimicrobial agents. This property is particularly important in chronic wound infections, where biofilms significantly reduce the efficacy of conventional antibiotics.^[10]

The extraction technique and solvent used significantly impact the antibacterial efficacy of *Citrus sinensis*. Silva et al. (2021) compared ethanolic, methanolic, and aqueous extracts of *Citrus sinensis* peel and found that ethanolic extracts exhibited the highest antibacterial activity, followed by methanolic and aqueous extracts. Ethanol's ability to extract both polar and non-polar bioactive compounds contributes to its superior efficacy.^[11] Similarly, Kumar et al. (2021) demonstrated that Soxhlet extraction yielded higher concentrations of bioactive compounds compared to cold press and maceration methods, resulting in improved antibacterial activity.^[12] Nguyen et al. (2022) emphasized the importance of standardizing extraction protocols to

ensure consistency in antibacterial efficacy. Their study showed that variation in extraction methods could lead to differences in the concentration of active compounds, such as limonene, hesperidin, and naringenin, which are key contributors to antibacterial activity.^[4] The antibacterial activity of *Citrus sinensis* varies between Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria due to differences in their cell wall structures. Mehta et al. (2023) observed that *Citrus sinensis* essential oil was more effective against *Staphylococcus aureus* (a Gram-positive bacterium) compared to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Escherichia coli* (both Gram-negative bacteria). The thicker peptidoglycan layer in Gram-positive bacteria is more susceptible to the action of essential oils, which disrupt the cell membrane.^[3] However, Gomez et al. (2024) noted that high concentrations of *Citrus sinensis* extracts were effective against Gram-negative bacteria by overcoming their outer membrane barrier. This indicates that concentration and application method play crucial roles in determining antibacterial efficacy.^[6] The findings from these studies support the potential application of *Citrus sinensis* extracts and essential oils in wound care products such as antimicrobial dressings, gels, and ointments. Alam et al. (2020) suggested that topical formulations containing *Citrus sinensis* extracts could provide effective localized antibacterial activity while minimizing systemic side effects.^[6] Additionally, Torres et al. (2024) highlighted the potential of using *Citrus sinensis*-infused dressings for biofilm-associated wound infections, demonstrating faster healing rates and reduced bacterial loads.^[20] However, Chowdhury et al. (2019) emphasized the need for further clinical trials to validate the safety and efficacy of *Citrus sinensis*-based wound care products. Current research remains largely limited to in vitro studies and animal models, and clinical translation requires robust human trials.^[13]

LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE PROSPECTS

Despite promising findings, several challenges remain in harnessing the full potential of *Citrus sinensis* as an antibacterial agent. Variability in phytochemical composition due to environmental factors, differences in extraction techniques, and the lack of standardized protocols pose significant barriers. Future research should focus on optimizing extraction techniques, developing advanced delivery systems (e.g., nanoparticles and hydrogels), and conducting large-scale clinical trials. The integration of *Citrus sinensis* extracts with conventional antibiotics also warrants further investigation to explore potential synergistic effects.

CONCLUSION

The antibacterial activity of *Citrus sinensis* against wound-infecting bacteria presents a promising avenue for developing alternative therapeutic agents. Its multifaceted mechanisms of action, effectiveness against biofilms, and potential for topical applications highlight its therapeutic value. However, addressing challenges such as standardization, bioavailability, and clinical

validation is essential to fully realize its potential in wound infection management. With continued research and innovation, *Citrus sinensis* could serve as a sustainable and effective tool in combating wound infections and reducing the global burden of antibiotic resistance.

REFERENCES

- Ramirez M, Cruz A, Morales G. Synergistic antibacterial effect of Citrus sinensis essential oil with conventional antibiotics. *J Adv Res.*, 2019; 19: 66-74.
- Wu J, Zhang H, Chen T. Evaluation of antibacterial potential of Citrus sinensis essential oil against biofilm-forming bacteria in wound infections. *Front Microbiol.*, 2020; 11: 289-97.
- Mehta S, Patel K, Joshi P. Comparative evaluation of Citrus sinensis essential oil and synthetic antibiotics against wound pathogens. *J Pharm Res Int.*, 2023; 35(2): 75-83.
- Nguyen H, Tran N, Vu K. Antimicrobial properties of Citrus sinensis essential oils against Escherichia coli and Staphylococcus aureus. *Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci.*, 2022; 11(4): 120-8.
- Hassan H, Ahmed M, Youssef S. In vitro assessment of Citrus sinensis juice extract on clinical strains of Escherichia coli. *BMC Complement Altern Med.*, 2018; 18(4): 112-8.
- Alam M, Nisha K, Rahman T. Comparative analysis of Citrus sinensis aqueous and ethanolic extracts against Staphylococcus aureus and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Int J Med Sci Public Health.*, 2020; 9(8): 444-50.
- Fernandez L, Gomez P, Torres F. Antibacterial efficacy of Citrus sinensis peel extract against multidrug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Microb Pathog.*, 2018; 117: 232-9.
- Gomez E, Castillo H, Rivera T. Antibacterial activity of Citrus sinensis peel oil against clinical wound isolates of Staphylococcus aureus. *Clin Microbiol Rev.*, 2024; 37(1): 19-27.
- Lopez C, Ramirez J, Torres A. Evaluation of Citrus sinensis essential oil for antibacterial activity against wound-isolated Staphylococcus aureus. *J Ethnopharmacol.*, 2017; 210: 45-52.
- Oluwafemi F, Adeoye O, Balogun K. Inhibitory potential of Citrus sinensis peel extract on biofilm formation by wound-infecting bacteria. *Afr J Biotechnol.*, 2022; 21(9): 134-40.
- Silva R, Costa A, Lima F. Antibacterial effects of Citrus sinensis extracts on antibiotic-resistant bacterial isolates from infected wounds. *J Appl Pharm Sci.*, 2021; 11(5): 55-63.
- Kumar P, Verma N, Sharma R. Evaluation of Citrus sinensis peel extract as an alternative antibacterial agent against wound pathogens. *Arch Clin Microbiol.*, 2021; 12(3): 88-95.
- Chowdhury R, Das S, Islam N. Antimicrobial activity of methanolic extracts of Citrus sinensis peel against wound pathogens. *Bangladesh J Med Microbiol.*, 2019; 13(2): 77-83.
- Garcia A, Lopez M, Hernandez R. Antibacterial activity of Citrus sinensis peel extract against Staphylococcus aureus. *J Med Microbiol.*, 2017; 65(4): 123-30.
- Smith J, Brown K, Miller T. Evaluation of ethanolic extract from Citrus sinensis peel against Staphylococcus aureus. *Int J Antimicrob Agents.*, 2018; 51(2): 88-95.
- Ahmed S, Khan R, Hussain F. Antibacterial efficacy of Citrus sinensis juice extract on Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Pak J Pharm Sci.*, 2018; 31(3): 201-9.
- Wilson L, Clarke N, Adams J. Comparative analysis of ethanolic Citrus sinensis peel extract against Staphylococcus aureus. *Microb Drug Resist.*, 2018; 24(5): 134-40.
- Ali M, Rehman K, Abbas Z. Effectiveness of Citrus sinensis peel extract against wound-isolated Staphylococcus aureus. *Asian Pac J Trop Biomed.*, 2018; 8(7): 235-42.
- Johnson D, Patel P, Mehta S. Inhibitory potential of Citrus sinensis juice extract on Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *BMC Microbiol.*, 2019; 19(1): 55-63.
- Brown E, Taylor H, Gonzalez F. Antibacterial assessment of Citrus sinensis essential oil on Escherichia coli. *Pharm Biol.*, 2019; 57(3): 178-84.
- Zhang X, Li Y, Zhao Q. Investigation of Citrus sinensis juice extract against Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *J Infect Chemother.*, 2019; 25(2): 97-104.
- Khan M, Alam N, Tariq H. Antibacterial activity of Citrus sinensis essential oil against Escherichia coli. *Indian J Microbiol.*, 2020; 60(1): 44-50.
- Nguyen T, Tran P, Le H. Comparative analysis of aqueous extract from Citrus sinensis on Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob.*, 2020; 19(5): 78-86.
- Lee J, Kim H, Park S. Evaluation of antibacterial activity of Citrus sinensis whole fruit extract against Staphylococcus aureus. *Korean J Microbiol.*, 2021; 57(4): 209-15.
- Martinez L, Lopez J, Rivera P. The effectiveness of Citrus sinensis essential oil on wound-infecting Escherichia coli. *Rev Mex Cienc Farm.*, 2021; 68(3): 311-7.
- Singh V, Gupta N, Sharma R. Comparative efficacy of Citrus sinensis essential oil against Escherichia coli. *J Appl Microbiol.*, 2021; 130(6): 1845-52.
- Chen X, Wu Z, Zhou Y. Antibacterial activity of Citrus sinensis whole fruit extract against Staphylococcus aureus. *Chin J Microbiol Immunol.*, 2022; 42(2): 128-35.
- Patel A, Desai S, Nair R. Synergistic antibacterial effects of Citrus sinensis whole fruit extract with antibiotics. *J Glob Antimicrob Resist.*, 2023; 32: 101-8.
- Torres P, Gomez R, Castillo J. Evaluation of Citrus sinensis extract against Staphylococcus aureus in wound infections. *Braz J Microbiol.*, 2024; 55(1): 14-21.